Introduction

Candidiasis is a fungal infection caused by species of the genus Candida (Candida spp.), which contains approximately 200 species and it presents with several varied clinical manifestations.1,2

The microorganism has been described to be present in the microflora of the skin, the genitourinary and gastrointestinal tracts of healthy individuals.3 It is present on the oral mucosa of an estimated 45%–65% of healthy children and 30%–50% of healthy adults in its asymptomatic form and usually commensally.3,4,5,6,7

The commensal organism can become pathogenic when the regular balance of the microbiota or immune system is disturbed.8 The presence of the fungus is not enough to cause the disease, and predisposing factors are required. These include salivary changes, drug therapies, endocrine disorders, immunological disorders, malignant diseases, and removable denture.9,10

The most commonly found species is Candida albicans, isolated in approximately 80% of all oral lesions, due to its adhesion properties and its pathogenic features. It is described as a dimorphic fungus, manifesting itself both as yeast and as hyphae, depending on its environment. Hyphae have invasive properties, which promote tissue invasion, damage, and its pathogenic phenotype. Other species can also be found, such as C. dubliniensis, C. glabrata, C. krusei, C. kefyr, C. parapsilosis, C. stellatoidea, and C. tropicalis.5

In the oral mucosa, microorganisms are usually found on the tongue, palate, and buccal mucosa, and have also been found in periodontal pockets.11 Candidiasis can be diagnosed by clinical exam of the oral mucosa and confirmed by cytopathological exam, culture, or biopsy.5 Culture is a method frequently used to identify Candida spp.; however, fungal growth does not necessarily imply disease occurrence.12,13,14,15,16

Cytopathology is a non-invasive method well-tolerated by patients and although it depends on the expertise of a cytopathologist evaluation, it is a reliable tool for diagnosis because in addition to identifying pathogenic forms of Candida spp., it allows evaluation of inflammatory changes associated with candidiasis.17,18,19

Erythematous and leukoplakia clinical findings are usually treated as oral candidiasis, even before suitable laboratory exam for diagnosis. The indiscriminate use of antifungals can lead to a growth of other microorganisms in the flora. Thus, the association of clinical exam with cytopathological exam provides an accurate diagnosis and consequently a correct treatment approach. Accurate management of oral candidiasis requires the identification of the risk factors and the elimination or treatment of the related causes.2 Topical antifungals, such as nystatin, clotrimazole, and miconazole, have been recommended. The systemic treatment includes fluconazole, itraconazole, ketoconazole, and amphoterin B.5

The objectives of this study were to analyze individuals...
with lesions that were clinically diagnosed as oral candidiasis but cytopathological exam was not diagnosis as candidiasis. In addition, individuals without clinical diagnosis of candidiasis but with a positive cytopathological exam for candidiasis, indicating the presence of subclinical lesions or false negative clinical exam were also evaluated.

**Material and Methods**

This study was approved by the research ethics committee (CAAE n°. 0356.0.258.000-11). The sample comprised of individuals referred to the Oral Diagnosis Clinic of the Graduate Program in Pathology (PPG-P) at the Hospital Universitário Antônio Pedro of the Fluminense Federal University (HUAP/UFF), in the year 2016. All individuals were submitted to anamnesis, intraoral exam, photographic documentation, and cytological exam. A spreadsheet was created specifically for this study using Microsoft Office Excel 2007, containing the following data: name, age, gender, skin color, underlying diseases, medications being used, removable denture use, tobacco and alcohol use, presence of oral lesions, symptoms, location and pattern of the lesions, and clinical diagnosis. The inclusion criteria were the following: individuals aged ≥18 who agreed to participate of the study. Exclusion criteria were the following: individuals with intellectual disabilities or who could not cooperate and those who failed to comply with the clinic protocol, individuals with insufficient or inappropriate material for the cytological exam analysis, with incomplete data for the study analysis, or with inadequate photographic documentation. Those who met the criteria for the study were invited to participate and were asked to sign an informed consent form.

Intraoral exam data were selected in order to classify clinically the diagnosis of candidiasis in the participants. The criteria of Neville et al and Armstrong et al were used in the study.21,22

Scraping for the cytological exam was performed in all individuals, both in lesions identified during intraoral exam as well as in the whole oral mucosa, even in the absence of clinical changes. The samples were sent to the Oral Cytology Department of the Pathologic Anatomy Service at HUAP/UFF, and the exam results were recorded in the study spreadsheet.

Participants with clinical diagnosis of candidiasis were grouped and their profiles were analyzed individually and intra group. In the second step, clinical candidiasis (CC) and without clinical candidiasis (WCC) groups were compared. Cytological diagnosis of each participant was distributed into these two groups and diagnostic agreement was analyzed.

The statistical program SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 20.0) was used for data analysis.

**Results**

We analyzed 99 participants, of which 78.8% were female and 45.5% were white. Their mean age was 62.7 years (range 21–88 years). Most participants were non-alcohol and non-tobacco user. The most common systemic diseases observed were hypertension (60.6%), diabetes mellitus (20.2%), and gastrointestinal disorders (34.34%). (Table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>78 (78.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>21 (21.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin color</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>45 (45.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>35 (35.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>19 (19.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11 (11.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>58 (58.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex-user</td>
<td>30 (30.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18 (18.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>67 (67.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex-user</td>
<td>14 (14.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>4 (4.04%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>2 (2.02%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>6 (6.06%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51-60</td>
<td>30 (30.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>36 (36.36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71-80</td>
<td>12 (12.12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81 and older</td>
<td>9 (9.09%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic diseases</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>60 (60.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>20 (20.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gastrointestinal disorders</td>
<td>34 (34.34%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 99 participants analyzed, 61 (61.6%) were clinically diagnosed with candidiasis comprising CC group, whereas the remaining 38 (38.4%) participants constituted WCC group.

Erythematous candidiasis was clinically diagnosed in 48 individuals (78.7%), pseudomembranous candidiasis in eight (13.1%), angular cheilitis in five (8.2%), and hyperplastic candidiasis in four individuals (6.5%).

The most common location of erythematous lesions was the palate (n = 38), followed by the tongue (n = 28), lower alveolar ridge (n = 6), left buccal mucosa, and upper alveolar ridge (n = 4). (Figure 1)

The distribution between the groups regarding denture use revealed that a great majority of the CC group used complete upper dentures (n = 33; 54%) and 26 (43%) used partial lower dentures. In the WCC group, most individuals did not use upper dentures (17, 44.7%) or lower dentures (16, 42.1%).

The cytological exam results showed features of
candidiasis in 43 of the 61 individuals (70.5%) in the CC group and in 10 of the 38 individuals (28.9%) in the WCC group. No cytopathological features compatible with candidiasis were observed in 18 of the 61 individuals (29.5%) in the CC group and in 28 of the 38 individuals (71.1%) in the WCC group.

Figure 2 shows the distribution of agreement and disagreement between clinical and cytopathological exams. It was observed individuals with clinical diagnosis of candidiasis, showing clinical changes indicative of candidiasis but cytopathological exam did not show any features compatible with the disease (CC CyNC). They were thus considered false positives. (Figure 3 and 4) Similarly, there were individuals without any clinical signs of candidiasis but with a cytopathological diagnosis indicative of the presence of candidiasis (WCC CyC). (Figure 5 and 6)
Figure 3. Clinical diagnosis of candidiasis and without cytopathological diagnosis of candidiasis (CC CyNC). Erythematous lesion on palate showed clinical changes indicative of candidiasis but did not show any features compatible with the disease on cytopathological exam (Source: Oral Diagnosis Clinic, Hospital Universitário Antônio Pedro, Universidade Federal Fluminense).

Figure 4. Clinical diagnosis of candidiasis and without cytopathological diagnosis of candidiasis (CC CyNC). Erythematous area on the tongue showed clinical changes indicative of candidiasis but with features compatible with squamous cell carcinoma on cytopathological exam (Source: Oral Diagnosis Clinic, Hospital Universitário Antônio Pedro, Universidade Federal Fluminense).

Figure 5. Without any clinical signs of candidiasis but with a cytopathological diagnosis of candidiasis (WCC CyC). Palate without clinical changes indicative of candidiasis but cytopathological exam positive for candidiasis (Source: Oral Diagnosis Clinic, Hospital Universitário Antônio Pedro, Universidade Federal Fluminense).

Figure 6. Without any clinical signs of candidiasis but with a cytopathological diagnosis of candidiasis (WCC CyC). Tongue without clinical changes indicative of candidiasis but cytopathological exam positive for candidiasis (Source: Oral Diagnosis Clinic, Hospital Universitário Antônio Pedro, Universidade Federal Fluminense).
Discussion

We observed that most participants were female (78.8%). Many studies have demonstrated this predominance, including the one conducted by Davies et al (2008),24 in which 252 of the 390 participants (65%) were females. We believe that this predominance can be explained by the fact that more females look for the HUAP oral diagnosis clinic than males and they are generally more concerned about their health.

It has been reported that candidiasis mostly affects individuals in early age or in advanced age. In some studies, the patients’ age ranged from 13 to 87 years, with a mean of 56.1 years.20 We did not include children in the study and the minimum age of 18 years was an inclusion criterion. We observed a mean age of 62.7 years, which is in agreement with the results reported in literature.

Tobacco and alcohol consumption are described as risk factors for candidiasis. They contribute to the changes of the oral environment, and when consumed in excess, they may be associated with poor oral hygiene.2 Despite this association, most participants in our study either did not drink alcohol (67.7%) or were former drinkers (14.1%) and were either non-smokers (58.6%) or former smokers (30.3%). These data are quite interesting because they show that, despite being considered as predisposing factors, they are not determinants. In a study by Lopez et al (2002), only 23% of participants with candidiasis were smokers, which is in accordance with our findings.

Some systemic diseases have been related to the development of candidiasis, and it is known that at least three factors can determine the clinical evidence of infection: the immune status of the host, the environment of the oral mucosa, and the strain of *C. albicans*.21 In the present study, the most common systemic diseases affecting the participants were hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and gastrointestinal disorders. Diabetes changes the host immune response and thus predisposes the individual to candidiasis. According to Akpan & Morgan (2002), diabetes is considered a predisposing systemic factor and it was found in 20.2% of the participants in our study.9 In addition, the medications used to control these diseases, such as diuretics for hypertension and antibiotics for certain gastrointestinal conditions, may cause an imbalance in the oral mucosal environment and thus lead to candidiasis development. In a study by Lopez et al (2002), hypertension was the most frequently detected medical condition, as was observed in this study.

Erythematous candidiasis was the form most frequently detected in clinical exam in this study (78.7%), and the most common locations were the palate and the tongue (62.3%). In a study by Davies et al (2008),24 the most common locations for pseudomembranous candidiasis (the most frequent form of the disease) were the buccal mucosa (48%), tongue (44%), and hard palate (8%), and acute erythematous candidiasis was mostly detected on the tongue (57%), buccal mucosa (29%), and hard palate (14%). This difference from our data may be explained by the fact that most of our participants were older adults and used dentures, which are more associated with erythematous candidiasis located on the palate. Furthermore, in Davies et al (2008) study it was consisted of cancer patients, who exhibited changes that could be related to the underlying disease and its treatments, which may justify the higher frequency of the pseudomembranous form and the different location prevalence.24 Denture-related stomatitis, which can be related to candidiasis, can be observed on the palate of denture users. Older adults may also have other conditions, such as hyposalivation, which we could not analyze but may predispose to erythematous candidiasis on the tongue. Erythematous candidiasis is the most common form of *Candida* infection, even though it is clinically neglected.21 Erythema is harder to identify, especially when the manifestation is milder, but a thorough examination of the oral mucosa was conducted by a stomatologist in our study, which probably explains why changes in the oral mucosa were identified more often and better described.

The relationship between denture-related stomatitis and the clinical manifestation of candidiasis is still the topic of many discussions. Some authors consider it important to clarify if *Candida* infection is present or not, because the erythema may be related to bacterial infection, poor hygiene, poorly-made dentures that could cause pressure on the palatal mucosa, inadequate polymerization, and allergy to components of the denture.21 We know that all these factors can cause palatal erythema with the development of petechiae. This clinical presentation can lead the professional to misdiagnose candidiasis.

The comparison between users and non-users of complete and partial upper dentures within the CC group showed that 54% and 42.6% of the denture users exhibited lesions on the palate and on the tongue, respectively. According to Scalercio et al (2007), users of dentures are more likely to have clinical candidiasis.19 Akpan & Morgan (2002) stated that dentures are a local predisposing factor for candidiasis.9 However, we found that 13 (39.4%) of the 33 erythematous clinical changes on the palate that had been diagnosed as candidiasis on intraoral exam had no confirmation in the cytopathological exam, and were considered as cases of denture-related stomatitis unrelated to candidiasis. Therefore, these data reinforce the importance of confirming that
erythematous lesions clinically suggestive of candidiasis, especially those related to the use of dentures, are in fact candidiasis. Cytopathological exam proved to be an extremely useful and efficient tool because it is non-invasive, easy to collect sample, is well-tolerated by patients, and has well-established cytopathological criteria for the diagnosis of candidiasis. Treatment approach to rule out candidiasis should not be an option considering its disadvantages as the possibility of antimicrobial resistance.

Of the 28 erythematous lesions on the tongue that were clinically diagnosed as candidiasis, six (21.4%) were not confirmed by cytopathological exam. Four lesions were subsequently diagnosed as atrophic tongue, probably associated with another condition such as iron deficiency or even geographic tongue. One other case was diagnosed as hyperpigmentation of the tongue, confirmed by histopathological exam. In the latter case, the cytopathological exam revealed cellular changes, resulting in the diagnosis of squamous cell carcinoma, which led to subsequent biopsy that confirmed the cytopathological diagnosis. Cases like this one show that when cytopathological exam does not confirm candidiasis, it is possible to use other tools for diagnostic confirmation.

Cytopathological exam allowed the diagnosis of a squamous cell carcinoma after clinical suspicion of candidiasis, which was confirmed by histopathological exam and the correct referral of the patient for treatment was achieved. We consider these findings extremely important because they highlight the fact that clinical exam, like any exam or laboratory approach, has its limitations, and that a clinical diagnosis should be confirmed whenever possible and indicated. Bernstein & Miller (1978) emphasized the importance of cytopathological exam and described it as a powerful instrument for detecting early malignant lesions, precursors of cancer, and some infections (such as fungal infections). Erythroplakia and leukoplakia can be an example of these lesions and may also be misdiagnosed as candidiasis. Cytopathological exam also has its limitations and, similar to any other exam, depends on adequate sample collection and an experienced cytopathologist for correct interpretation and results. We recommend it as a method of choice for routine stomatology practice.

Of the 99 individuals analyzed, 43 had candidiasis which was clinically and cytopathologically confirmed showing an agreement between both methods (CC CyC). This agreement was also found in 28 individuals who did not exhibit clinical characteristics of candidiasis and also had negative cytopathological exam results (WCC CyNC). A great majority of studies, including that by Davies et al (2008), use culture, which is considered the gold standard for the diagnosis of candidiasis, as their method of choice. However, culture has some limitations that should be taken into consideration. Its result might be positive regardless of whether Candida is present as yeast, pseudohyphae, or hyphae. The yeast can colonize the oral mucosa of individuals without causing the disease, so these individuals may have positive results without necessarily having candidiasis. Thus, a positive culture result does not guarantee the presence of candidiasis. On the other hand, cytopathological exam has well-established diagnostic criteria for candidiasis, such as the presence of grouped keratinized epithelial cells, which often have and overlap presentation, inflammatory alterations in association with neutrophils and hyphae or pseudohyphae of Candida species. This makes cytopathology the best method of choice for the diagnosis of candidiasis.

With regard to the cases in which a disagreement between the clinical and cytopathological exam was observed, 18 of the 99 individuals (18.2%) had a clinical diagnosis of candidiasis and negative in cytopathology, whereas 10 (10.1%) had no clinical features of candidiasis but had positive cytopathology for candidiasis. As described earlier, a majority of cases with a clinical diagnosis of candidiasis and negative cytopathology were related to erythema, especially cases of denture-related stomatitis, which are erroneously interpreted as candidiasis. Scalerio et al (2007) also observed individuals diagnosed with candidiasis but they actually had denture-related stomatitis unrelated to Candida. It is important to highlight that approximately 10% of our cases had no clinically detected changes in the oral mucosa but had candidiasis diagnosed by cytopathological exam, thereby being considered as cases of subclinical candidiasis. The detection of subclinical candidiasis provides the professional with an opportunity to select the best treatment and management of patients and to understand their progress. When simultaneous to a systemic disease, immunosuppression, or changes in the oral environment, this subclinical form may progress to a clinical and more severe manifestation.

**Conclusion**

We conclude the erythematous lesions should be carefully evaluated because squamous cell carcinoma may exhibit clinical features similar to erythematous candidiasis. Cytopathological exam proved to be an important tool in the diagnosis of candidiasis as well as in the identification of other diseases, such as squamous cell carcinoma. We propose that this method should be used in the routine of stomatology practice.
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